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Final Presentations of Term Projects

• Scheduled for Apr 19 and Apr 20
  • Signup document available on course website
• Each team gets 15 minutes in all
• Each team member needs to be present on the Zoom
• Structure:
  • Introduction (main idea)
  • Background/Motivation/Significance
  • Related Work
  • Research questions/Goals/Objectives
  • Data/Social media platform
  • Approach/Method
  • Results
  • Implications/What you have learned/Conclusion
Facebook 'likes' predict personality

Sexuality, political leanings and even intelligence can be gleaned from the things you choose to "like" on Facebook, a study suggests.

Researchers at Cambridge University used algorithms to predict religion, politics, race and sexual orientation.

The research, published in the journal PNAS, forms surprisingly accurate personal portraits, researchers said.

The findings should "ring alarm bells" for users, privacy campaigners said.

The study used 58,000 volunteers who alongside their Facebook "likes" and demographic information also provided psychometric testing results - designed to highlight personality traits.

The Facebook likes were fed into algorithms and matched with the
"This research should ring alarm bells for anyone who thinks that privacy settings are the solution to protecting information online. We need to fundamentally re-think how much data we are voluntarily sharing," said Nick Pickles, director of privacy campaign group Big Brother Watch.

"Yet again, it is clear the lack of transparency about how users' data is being used will lead to entirely justified fears about our data being exploited for commercial gain."
Deep neural networks are more accurate than humans at detecting sexual orientation from facial images.

Yilun Wang, Michal Kosinski
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Automatic Crime Prediction using Events Extracted from Twitter Posts

Xiaofeng Wang, Matthew S. Gerber, and Donald E. Brown

Department of Systems and Information Engineering, University of Virginia
{xw4u, msg8u, brown}@virginia.edu

Abstract. Prior work on criminal incident prediction has relied primarily on the historical crime record and various geospatial and demographic information sources. Although promising, these models do not take into account the rich and rapidly expanding social media context that surrounds incidents of interest. This paper presents a preliminary investigation of Twitter-based criminal incident prediction. Our approach is based on the automatic semantic analysis and understanding of natural language Twitter posts, combined with dimensionality reduction via latent Dirichlet allocation and prediction via linear modeling. We tested our model on the task of predicting future hit-and-run crimes. Evaluation results indicate that the model comfortably outperforms a baseline model that predicts hit-and-run incidents uniformly across all days.

1 Introduction

Traditional crime prediction systems (e.g., the one described by Wang and Brown [14]) make extensive use of historical incident patterns as well as layers of in-
What should we **not** do with these data. What study designs are particularly problematic?
Challenges
Experimental evidence of massive-scale emotional contagion through social networks
Summary

- This experiment was widely criticized on ethical grounds regarding informed consent.

Was Facebook's 'Emotional Contagion' Experiment Ethical?

Users and privacy activists are upset that researchers manipulated users' news feeds.

By Naomi LaChance, Staff Writer | June 30, 2014, at 4:20 p.m.

Facebook may have toyed with your emotions. (CitstockPhoto)

An academic study has come under criticism because its authors manipulated Facebook users' news feeds in order to gather data. The researchers, including one who worked for Facebook, admitted last week that they studied the parallel between an individual's emotions and the emotions portrayed on a news feed by manipulating the feeds of about 700,000 users. Over one week in January 2012, researchers eliminated "positive" posts from some users' news feeds and eliminated "negative" posts from others, to see if doing so had an effect on the users' moods.

The authors of the study have drawn criticism for failing to ensure that the study was consensual, for violating users' privacy and for manipulating users' lives. The authors defend themselves, saying that the method is made permissible by Facebook's Data Use Policy.
Unexpected expectations: Public reaction to the Facebook emotional contagion study

Blake Hallinan
Jed R Brubaker
Casey Fiesler
University of Colorado Boulder, USA

Abstract
How to ethically conduct online platform-based research remains an unsettled issue and the source of continued controversy. The Facebook emotional contagion study, in which researchers altered Facebook News Feeds to determine whether
Highlights of some findings...

• Living in a lab
  • *Dear Mr. Zuckerberg, Last I checked, we did not decide to jump in a petri dish to be utilized at your disposal...* We connect with our loved ones.

• Manipulation anxieties
  • *Don’t be fooled, manipulating a mood is the ability to manipulate a mind. Political outcomes, commerce, and civil unrest are just a short list of things that can be controlled.*

• Wake up, sheeple
  • *Anyone who doesn’t realise that anything you put “out there” on Facebook (or any other social media site) is like shouting it through a bullhorn should have their internet competency licence revoked. We can’t blame all stupidity on some or other conspiracy...*

• No big deal
  • *A/B testing (i.e. basically what happened here) when software companies change content or algorithms for a subset of users happens *all the time*. It’s standard industry practice.*
A key takeaway – consent is important!
Consent at Scale – why it is hard
“Participant” Perceptions of Twitter Research Ethics

Casey Fiesler¹ and Nicholas Proferes²

Abstract
Social computing systems such as Twitter present new research sites that have provided billions of data points to researchers. However, the availability of public social media data has also presented ethical challenges. As the research community works to create ethical norms, we should be considering users’ concerns as well. With this in mind, we report on an exploratory survey of Twitter users’ perceptions of the use of tweets in research. Within our survey sample, few users were previously aware that their public tweets could be used by researchers, and the majority felt that researchers should not be able to use tweets without consent. However, we find that these attitudes are highly contextual, depending on factors such as how the research is conducted or disseminated, who is conducting it, and what the study is about. The findings of this study point to potential best practices for researchers conducting observation and analysis of public data.

Keywords
Twitter, Internet research ethics, social media, user studies
“Participant” Perceptions of Twitter Research Ethics

Casey Fiesler\(^1\) and Nicholas Proferes\(^2\)

Table 2. Comfort Around Tweets Being Used in Research.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Very uncomfortable</th>
<th>Somewhat uncomfortable</th>
<th>Neither uncomfortable nor comfortable</th>
<th>Somewhat comfortable</th>
<th>Very comfortable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>How do you feel about the idea of tweets being used in research? ((n = 268))</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
<td>17.5%</td>
<td>29.1%</td>
<td>35.1%</td>
<td>15.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How would you feel if a tweet of yours was used in one of these research studies? ((n = 267))</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
<td>22.5%</td>
<td>23.6%</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
<td>16.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How would you feel if your entire Twitter history was used in one of these research studies? ((n = 268))</td>
<td>21.3%</td>
<td>27.2%</td>
<td>18.3%</td>
<td>21.6%</td>
<td>11.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. The shading was used to provide a visual cue about higher percentages.
The Case of Deleted Tweets/Social media posts

Tweets Are Forever:
A Large-Scale Quantitative Analysis of Deleted Tweets

Hazim Almuhimedi, Shomir Wilson, Bin Liu, Norman Sadeh, Alessandro Acquisti

aSchool of Computer Science, bHeinz College
Carnegie Mellon University
{hazim,shomir,bliu1,sadeh}@cs.cmu.edu, acquisti@andrew.cmu.edu

ABSTRACT
This paper describes an empirical study of 1.6M deleted
tweets collected over a continuous one-week period from a set
of 292K Twitter users. We examine several aggregate pro-
perties of deleted tweets, including their connections to other
tweets (e.g., whether they are replies or retweets), the clients
used to produce them, temporal aspects of deletion, and the
presence of geotagging information. Some significant differ-
ces were discovered between the two collections, namely
in the clients used to post them, their conversational aspects,
the sentiment vocabulary present in them, and the days of the
week they were posted. However, in other dimensions for
which analysis was possible, no substantial differences were
found. Finally, we discuss some ramifications of this work for
understanding Twitter usage and management of one’s pri-

in other cases they may have serious ramifications, as recog-
nized by the European Commission’s draft of a ”right to be for-
totten” [1].

When a post is deleted from an online social network, users
generally assume that the post will no longer be available for
anyone to see. However, this is not necessarily true, as evi-
dence may persist of the post and its content in less visible
ways. Twitter, through its API service, provides a particularly
rich and accessible stream of data on deleted posts. By fol-

lowing the posts (tweets) of a user and other messages from
the API, one can reconstruct which tweets the user decides
to delete without losing any data associated with them. By
tracking a large number of users whose posts are public, it is
thus possible to observe large-scale patterns in deletion be-

havior. These patterns can inform the design of online social
networks to help users better manage their content.
Also what about those who can’t give consent any more? *The case of dead people*

- Medieval view
- Today’s view
- Things are muddled when it comes to dead people’s digital lives – legislation has not kept up with technological change
Digital Wills and Beneficiaries (Forbes)

... still particularly nascent when it comes to data stored by a third-party company
When there is no consent, researchers have poor understanding of what can go wrong, and “participants” or research subjects have limited understanding of risk.
What’s at Stake: Characterizing Risk Perceptions of Emerging Technologies

Michael Skirpan
University of Colorado
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ABSTRACT
One contributing factor to how people choose to use technology is their perceptions of associated risk. In order to explore this influence, we adapted a survey instrument from risk perception literature to assess mental models of users and technologists around risks of emerging, data-driven technologies (e.g., identity theft, personalized filter bubbles). We surveyed 175 individuals for comparative and individual assessments of risk, including characterizations using psychological factors. We report our observations around group differences (e.g., expert versus non-expert) in how people assess risk, and what factors may structure their conceptions of technological harm. Our findings suggest that technologists see these risks as posing a bigger threat to society than do non-experts. Moreover, across groups, participants did not see technological risks as voluntarily assumed. Differences in how people characterize risk have implications for the future of design, decision-making, and public communications, which we discuss through a lens we call risk-sensitive design.

ACM Classification Keywords
H.1.2 User/Machine Systems: Human Factors; H.5.m. Information Interfaces and Presentation (e.g. HCI): Miscellaneous

and behavior-driven design. These users must rely on the companies and parties to whom they have given their data (knowingly or not) to be ethical.

Yet, we already know that many impacts (e.g., privacy, ethical, legal) and constraints (e.g., protocols, technological capabilities) of online technologies are poorly understood by users [24, 8, 36, 15]. We also know that, when asked, users are often uncomfortable or find undesirable the practices of online behavioral advertising (OBA) and personalization [37, 34]. This misalignment is often framed as a consumer trade-off between privacy and personal benefit [13, 40]. Framing it this way leads to an assumption that the benefit of web services must outweigh consumer’s privacy concerns since users are not opting out of services.

However, if consumers really are performing this cost-benefit analysis and making a conscious decision, then why do we see such hype and panic around risks and harms caused by technology in the media? Daily news headlines relay injustice [19, 1, 4, 33], personal boundary violations [32], and gloom [26, 18, 14] over the impacts of technology on society. Some of these problems may indeed warrant concern from the public and social advocates; others might be overblown
### What's at Stake: Characterizing Risk Perceptions of Emerging Technologies
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**Figure 1.** Average comparative risk ranking by non-experts vs experts where items with significant differences (p<.05 for two-tailed t-test) are highlighted.
Someone once wrote me that scrolling through Facebook on a Friday afternoon made him feel low throughout the weekend. Everyone else seemed to be having so much fun, it made him “feel like a loser”. He’d been recovering from severe depression following a HIV diagnosis, and felt powerless over how Facebook affects his mood. His story isn’t dissimilar to that of my clients and my friends. In fact, one of my friends calls rebuilding life “climbing out of a crater and realizing there’s a mountain ahead of you.” And Facebook can be that mountain in our lives. Here’s seven points we can reflect upon to make that mountain less daunting.
Amid the ethical controversy surrounding the experiment, Facebook twice attempted to draw attention to the study’s claims about well-being. Lead author Adam Kramer wrote:

_The reason we did this research is because we care about the emotional impact of Facebook and the people that use our product. We felt that it was important to investigate the common worry that seeing friends post positive content leads to people feeling negative or left out. ... And we found the exact opposite to what was then the conventional wisdom: Seeing a certain kind of emotion (positive) encourages it rather than suppresses it [sic]. (2014)_

Mike Schroepfer, Facebook’s Chief Technology Officer, later reiterated Kramer’s statement (2014).
Redo the emotion contagion study experimentally or with observational data, but in an ethical manner. What study design will you use?
If true, these findings could substantially alleviate concern that Facebook represents a threat to well-being. But the work also has significant methodological concerns.
Social Data: Biases, Methodological Pitfalls, and Ethical Boundaries

Alexandra Olteanu, IBM Research, US
Carlos Castillo, Eurecat, Spain
Fernando Diaz, Spotify, US
Emre Kiciman, Microsoft Research, US

Social data in digital form, which includes user-generated content, expressed or implicit relationships between people, and behavioral traces, are at the core of many popular applications and platforms, driving the research agenda of many researchers. The promises of social data are many, including understanding “what the world thinks” about a social issue, brand, product, celebrity, or other entity, as well as enabling better decision-making in a variety of fields including public policy, healthcare, and economics. Many academics and practitioners have warned against the naïve usage of social data. There are biases and inaccuracies occurring at the source of the data, but also introduced during processing. There are methodological limitations and pitfalls, as well as ethical boundaries and unexpected consequences that are often overlooked. This survey recognizes the rigor with which these issues are addressed by different researchers varies across a wide range. We present a framework for identifying a broad variety of menaces in the research and practices around social data use.

Additional Key Words and Phrases: Social media, user-generated content, behavioral traces, biases, evaluation

1. INTRODUCTION

“For your own sanity, you have to remember that not all problems can be solved. Not all problems can be solved, but all problems can be illuminated.” –Ursula Franklin

This survey covers a series of concerns about social data use for a variety of goals. To set the context, in this section, we describe social data and its applications (§1.1), outline general concerns about its usage as voiced by academics in the past (§1.2), and overview the remainder of the survey (§1.3).
Methods in predictive techniques for mental health status on social media: a critical review

Stevie Chancellor & Munmun De Choudhury

Abstract

Social media is now being used to model mental well-being, and for understanding health outcomes. Computer scientists are now using quantitative techniques to predict the presence of specific mental disorders and symptomatology, such as depression, suicidality, and anxiety. This research promises great benefits to monitoring efforts, diagnostics, and intervention design for these mental health statuses. Yet, there is no standardized process for evaluating the validity of this research and the methods adopted in the design of these
And the risks of bad predictions?

• Erroneous machine learning models
• Bad scientific standards
• Improper causal assumptions
• Incorrect diagnosis and intervention
• Unaccountable actors
• Discrimination and injustice
• Privacy violations
• ...

…the risks of good predictions?

• Feature over-engineering?
• Reproduce data biases
• Unaccountable actors
• Discrimination and injustice
• Inappropriate application areas
• Societal harms
• Should we even predict something at all…?
A Taxonomy of Ethical Tensions in Inferring Mental Health States from Social Media
Overview of Taxonomy

- Participant and research oversight
- Validity, interpretability, and methods
- Stakeholder implications
Class Exercise II

Analyze the challenges in the suicide prevention AI tool of Facebook. Analyze from the perspective of 1) informed consent; 2) methodology/algorithm; and 3) transparency.
Possible Ethical Solutions