CS 6474/4803 Social Computing: Analyzing Language I

Munmun De Choudhury

munmund@gatech.edu

Week 8 | October 10, 2018


Assignment II


Language is the most common and reliable way for people to translate their internal thoughts and emotions into a form that others can understand. Words and language, then, are the very stuff of psychology and communication -- Tauszczik & Pennebaker




Diurnal and Seasonal Mood Vary with Work, Sleep, and Day length Across Diverse Cultures

- One of the early works examining relationship between social media mood and behavior and psychological theories.
- The potential of online social media to study individual behavior.
- Identify daily and seasonal mood variations and relate it to work, sleep and daylight.
- Validate circadian rhythms in humans.
 - PA spike in the morning, NA increases as the day progresses
- Measure positive affect and negative affect based on the lexicon LIWC.
- PA and NA are not mirror images of each other.







Twitter is used by millions and both the papers extensively leverage this source of data in measuring mood and affect.

How does use of Twitter for this purpose address limitations in existing mood or affect measurement methods? Twitter is used by millions and both the papers extensively leverage this source of data in measuring mood and affect.


But could Twitter also have bias?

How do you expect the results relating to mood to be different if the paper used: 1) Facebook 2) Instagram? Could platform affordances impact specific moods and their manifestations on social media? How?

Class Exercise


Why is measuring mood useful? Some examples follow... Modeling Public Mood and Emotion: Twitter Sentiment and Socioeconomic Phenomena – (Bollen, Pepe, Mao, 2010)

- Examine how Twitter moods reflect social, political, and economic events
- Use POMS (profile of mood states) for detecting moodindicative twitter posts.
 - POMS dimensions: tension, depression, anger, vigor, fatigue and confusion
- Investigate how a six vector representation of moods deviates during different big scope events.
- High stress/tension during elections; excitement/vigor during thanksgiving.




2008 Presidential elections







Temporal Patterns of Happiness and Information in a Global Social Network: Hedonometrics and Twitter

Peter Sheridan Dodds, Kameron Decker Harris, Isabel M. Kloumann, Catherine A. Bliss, Christopher M. Danforth






Not All Moods Are Created Equal! Exploring Human Emotional States in Social Media, by De Choudhury, Counts, and Gamon 2012




- **Emotion** brief conscious experience characterized by intense mental activity and a high degree of pleasure or displeasure
- Affect an instinctual reaction to stimulation occurring before the typical cognitive processes considered necessary for the formation of a more complex emotion
- **Mood** emotional state. Moods differ from emotions or affects in that they are less specific, less intense, and less likely to be triggered by a particular stimulus or event
- **Sentiment** attitude or opinion with respect to a specific topic, event or situation


Personality, Gender, and Age in the Language of Social Media: The Open-Vocabulary Approach

- Facebook data of 75K individuals
- Users took personality tests
 - Participants volunteered to share their status updates as part of the My Personality application, where they also took a variety of questionnaires
- Authors found found striking variations in language with personality, gender, and age
 - Use of an open vocabulary approach
- Results confirmed previously known social science findings, suggested new hypotheses, and showed sustained face validity



- Open Vocabulary: Differential Language Analysis
- Key characteristics:
 - Open-vocabulary it is not limited to predefined word lists. Rather, linguistic features including words, phrases, and topics (sets of semantically related words) are automatically determined from the texts. (I.e., it is "data-driven".) This means DLA is classified as a type of open-vocabulary approach.
 - Discriminating it finds key linguistic features that distinguish psychological and demographic attributes, using stringent significance tests.
 - Simple it uses simple, fast, and readily accepted statistical techniques.





	Gender	Age	Extraversion	Agreeableness	Conscientious.	Neuroticism	Openness
features	accuracy	R	R	R	R	R	R
LIWC	78.4%	.65	.27	.25	.29	.21	.29
Topics	87.5%	.80	.32	.29	.33	.28	.38
WordPhrases	91.4%	.83	.37	.29	.34	.29	.41
WordPhrases + Topics	91.9 %	.84	.38	.31	.35	.31	.42
Topics + LIWC	89.2 %	.80	.33	.29	.33	.28	.38
WordPhrases + LIWC	91.6%	.83	.38	.30	.34	.30	.41
WordPhrases + Topics + LIWC	91.9%	.84	.38	.31	.35	.31	.42

accuracy: percent predicted correctly (for discrete binary outcomes). *R*: Square-root of the coefficient of determination (for sequential/continuous outcomes). *LIWC*: *A priori* word-categories from Linguistic Inquiry and Word Count. *Topics*: Automatically created *LDA* topic clusters. *WordPhrases*: words and phrases (n-grams of size 1 to 3 passing a collocation filter). Bold indicates significant (p<.01) improvement over the baseline set of features (use of *LIWC* alone). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0073791.t002

Why is gender and personality inference useful for social computing researchers and professionals? How is an open vocabulary approach more suitable for social media language data over closed vocabulary ones? People use social media for all kinds of reasons and purposes. On Facebook in particular, people are heavily concerned about impression management.

Why do you think the assessments of personality are still accurate?

TECHNOLOGY

Facebook Tinkers With Users' Emotions in News Feed Experiment, Stirring Outcry

By VINDU GOEL JUNE 29, 2014



Facebook revealed that it had altered the news feeds of over half a million users in its study. Karen Bleier/Agence France-Presse — Getty Images

To <u>Facebook</u>, we are all lab rats.

Facebook routinely adjusts its users' news feeds — testing out the number of ads they see or the size of photos that appear — often without their knowledge. It is all for the purpose, the company says, of creating a more alluring and useful product.

But last week, Facebook revealed that it had manipulated the news feeds of over

half a million randomly selected users to change the number of positive and negative posts they saw. It was part of a psychological study to examine how emotions can be spread on social media.

The company says users consent to this kind of manipulation when they agree to its terms of service. But in the quick judgment of the Internet, that argument was not universally accepted.

"I wonder if Facebook KILLED anyone with their emotion manipulation stunt. At their scale and with depressed people out there, it's possible," the privacy activist Lauren Weinstein <u>wrote in a Twitter post</u>.

On Sunday afternoon, the Facebook researcher who led the study, Adam D. I. Kramer, posted a <u>public apology</u> on his Facebook page.