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The Strength of Weak Ties

## Summary

- First sociological study of social ties
- The strength of a tie is defined in terms of four dimensions: amount of time, intimacy, intensity and reciprocal services
- Finding: even weak ties can be valuable
- Weak ties can also act as bridges, and can help information diffuse faster
- Generally weak ties have role in social cohesion and mobility, e.g., findings a job, geographic move, forming interest communities
- Strong ties are high maintenance; weak ties prevent small highly connected cliques from fragmenting
- Content of ties not considered; theory not validated with data!


# Predicting Tie Strength With Social Media 

## Summary

- First study to quantitatively measure social tie strength.
- Based on Facebook data of 35 participants, they define 74 predictor variables of tie strength
- Seven different categories of the predictor variables:
- $85 \%$ predictive accuracy based on an OLS regression model; findings supplemented with interviews
- Findings:
- Intimacy strongest feature; structural balance i.e., number of overlapping networks least
- Strong ties provide emotional support, weak ties are great for accessing new kinds of information
fac


| Predictive Intensity Variables | Distribution | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wall words exchanged | 1. | 9549 |
| Participant-initiated wall posts | 1. | 55 |
| Friend-initiated wall posts | 1. | 47 |
| Inbox messages exchanged |  | 9 |
| Inbox thread depth |  | - 31 |
| Participant's status updates | \|l|e....n | - 80 |
| Friend's status updates | 1 | -- 200 |
| Friend's photo comments | 1. | 1352 |
| Intimacy Variables |  |  |
| Participant's number of friends | .\|llill Inull | . ${ }^{\text {P } 729}$ |
| Friend's number of friends |  | - 2050 |
| Days since last communication | \|l|hillun. | 1115 |
| Wall intimacy words |  | 148 |
| Inbox intimacy words |  | 137 |
| Appearances together in photo |  | - 73 |
| Participant's appearances in photo | lullu... | 897 |
| Distance between hometowns (mi) | \|l|.|.| | .I. 8182 |
| Friend's relationship status | 6\% engaged $30 \%$ single $30 \%$ | 2\% married relationship |

## How strong is your relationship with this person?

barely know them we are very close

How would you feel asking this friend to loan you \$100 or more?
would never ask
very comtortable

## How helpful would this person be if you were looking for a job?

no help at all
very helpful
How upset would you be if this person unfriended you? not upset at all
very upset
If you left Facebook for another social site, how important would it be to bring this friend along?
would not matter must bring them

| Days since first communication |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Reciprocal Services Variables |  |
| Links exchanged by wall post | l.....-. . 688 |
| Applications in common | ■-n_-_ - 18 |
| Structural Variables |  |
| Number of mutual friends | llin...- - 206 |
| Groups in common | \|n-_- 12 |
| Norm. TF-IDF of interests and about | \|l|l|l.....--- - 73 |
| Emotional Support Variables |  |
| Wall \& inbox positive emotion words | - 19 |
| Wall \& inbox negative emotion words | 1. |
| Social Distance Variables |  |
| Age difference (days) |  |
| Number of occupations difference | -n |
| Educational difference (degrees) | 3 |
| Overlapping words in religion | 2 |
| Political difference (scale) | - 4 |

Signed Networks in Social Media

## Summary

- In many online and offline contexts, ties can be signed.
- Reasons could span from trust/mistrust to voting and positive/ negative perceptions of feedback and interaction
- First large-scale and quantitative validation of theories relating to signed ties
- Two theories used: theory of status and theory of structural balance
- Focus on Epinions and Slashdot for examining explicitly defined networks, and Wikipedia for implicitly inferred networks
- Findings:
- Theory of status explains signed tie formation in directed links.
- Theory of structural balance explains the same in undirected social graphs.

Ties can be strong and weak, ties can be measureable through social media, and they can also be positive or negative. However, are ties contextual, e.g., demographic, topical, or situational?

Granovetter talks about the potential of weak ties to act as bridges. What would a bridge mean in the context of Twitter's social network?

Tell me some design implications of being able to infer tie strength in social media.

Zhang, H., De Choudhury, M., and Grudin, J. (2014). Creepy but Inevitable? The Evolution of Social Networking, CSCW 2014.


Today we use more and more types of networks, and our contacts are spread across them. What does therefore a strong (or weak) tie on one platform mean for the other?

The papers use the theory of cognitive and structural balance to reason about the existence of ties between people. Online networks surpass temporal and spatial boundaries. So does structural balance still explain how we form weak ties e.g., on Facebook?

In Gilbert et al, structural balance i.e., number of overlapping networks was not found to be a significant predictor (unlike what Granovetter argues). What could be possible reasons behind this?

Social networks can be hierarchical, e.g., at an organization. How would definition of social ties and their strength be affected by organizational dynamics?

The first two papers do not talk as much about unidirectional ties. How would tie definition and tie strength differ in such contexts e.g., Twitter?


> (a) proxy
> layout
(b) animation rule

The tool Babble: "Social Translucence: An Approach to Designing Systems that Support Social Processes"

## Granovetter mentions that in ego-centric networks, strong ties form an inner circle, and weak ties form an extended circle

After all, in online context, interface design/ algorithmic manipulation may hugely impact whether a social tie eventually grows to be a strong or a weak tie. Cite some examples of this.

Are there situations where both strong and weak ties may be useful?

## Burke, M., and Kraut, R. 2014. "Using Facebook after Losing a Job: Differential Benefits of Strong and Weak Ties". CSCW 2013.

- Losing a job is associated with increases in stress, while talking with strong ties is generally associated with improvements in stress and social support.
- Weak ties do not provide these benefits.
- Bridging social capital comes from both strong and weak ties.
- Contrary to the "strength of weak ties" hypothesis, communication with strong ties is more predictive of finding employment within three months
"Felt a little better with supporting comments about losing my job. My friends gave me a better outlook on the situation."
"Been able to share my worries, get help on Cvs, job hunting and general chit chat about whats happening to others."
"Much better it is way easier to stay connected when looking for employment $t$ see what others found. If someone finds a lead they cannot use they pass it on in case anyone else can use it. We are finding jobs"
"Yes, [I] am able to commiserate with other colleagues on losing our jobs (due to Bank failure) and getting prospects for new opportunities."


## Next class

- Monday 10/6
- Topic: Networks (Structure)
- There are assigned readings, due on Sunday 11:59pm on Piazza.
- We will also have a discussion on Assignment II.

